

Theorem 4. Let (a_n) , (b_n) and (c_n) be sequences.

- i) If $a_n \rightarrow a$, $b_n \rightarrow b$, and for all indices n : $a_n \leq b_n$, then we have $a \leq b$.
- ii) (Squeeze Theorem)
If $a_n \rightarrow a$, $b_n \rightarrow a$, and for all indices n :
 $a_n \leq c_n \leq b_n$, then $c_n \rightarrow a$.
- iii) If for all indices n : $|a_n - a| \leq b_n$, and $b_n \rightarrow 0$, then $a_n \rightarrow a$.
- iv) If (b_n) is bounded and $a_n \rightarrow 0$, then $a_n b_n \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. W.l.o.g. we assume that all sequences start at $n=1$.

i) We prove this part by contradiction.

Assume: $a > b$. We set $\varepsilon := \frac{a-b}{2} > 0$.

$a_n \rightarrow a \Rightarrow \exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \geq N_1: a_n \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(a)$

$b_n \rightarrow b \Rightarrow \exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \geq N_2: b_n \in \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon(b)$

$\Rightarrow \forall n \geq \max\{N_1, N_2\}$:

$a_n > a - \varepsilon = \frac{a+b}{2} = b + \varepsilon > b_n$, which is a contradiction.

ii) $a_n \rightarrow a$ and $b_n \rightarrow a \Rightarrow \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \geq N$:

$|a_n - a| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $|b_n - a| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$

for any given $\varepsilon > 0$.

$$\Rightarrow \forall m \geq N: a_m - a \leq c_m - a \leq b_m - a$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \forall m \geq N: |c_m - a| &\leq \max\{|a_m - a|, |b_m - a|\} \\ &\leq |a_m - a| + |b_m - a| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

iii) follows directly from the definition of convergence.

iv) Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We know (b_m) is bounded

$$\Rightarrow \exists M > 0 \quad \forall m \geq 1: |b_m| \leq M.$$

As (a_m) converges to 0, we know

$$\exists N \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall m \geq N: |a_m| < \frac{\varepsilon}{M}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall m \geq N: |a_m b_m| \leq M |a_m| < M \frac{\varepsilon}{M} = \varepsilon. \quad \square$$

Examples. i) We have $\frac{n-1}{n+1} = \frac{1-\frac{1}{n}}{1+\frac{1}{n}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{1} = 1,$

where we use Thm 3, iv).

ii) Let $\alpha > 0$ be a fixed positive number and

$$a_n := \frac{1}{n^\alpha}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad \text{We show } a_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let us choose $N > \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/\alpha}}$.

Then we have for all $m \geq N$:

$$\left| \frac{1}{m^\alpha} \right| = \frac{1}{m^\alpha} \leq \frac{1}{N^\alpha} < \varepsilon.$$

iii) We show $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[m]{m} = 1.$

We recall the binomial theorem

$$(x+y)^m = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} x^k y^{m-k}$$

and define $a_n := \sqrt[n]{n} - 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$\Rightarrow \forall n \geq 1: a_n \geq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \forall n \geq 2: n &= (\sqrt[n]{n})^n = (a_n + 1)^n \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (a_n)^k \geq 1 + \binom{n}{1} a_n + \binom{n}{2} (a_n)^2 \\ &\geq \binom{n}{2} (a_n)^2 = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} (a_n)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall n \geq 2: (a_n)^2 \leq \frac{2}{n-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall n \geq 2: |a_n| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{n-1}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ (c.f. example ii)}$$

$\Rightarrow a_n \rightarrow 0$ (here we use Thm 4, iii).

iv) For fixed $a > 0$ we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{a} = 1$.

Case 1: $a \geq 1$: $\forall n \geq a$: $1 \leq \sqrt[n]{a} \leq \sqrt[n]{n}$

\Rightarrow (Squeeze Thm) $\sqrt[n]{a} \rightarrow 1$.

Case 2: $0 < a < 1$: $\frac{1}{a} > 1 \Rightarrow \sqrt[n]{\frac{1}{a}} \rightarrow 1$ (case 1)

$\Rightarrow \sqrt[n]{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{\frac{1}{a}}} \rightarrow 1$, by Thm 3, iv).

v) For fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x| < 1$ we have $x^n \rightarrow 0$, and more generally, for fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have $n^k x^n \rightarrow 0$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We have $|n^k x^n| = n^k |x|^n < \varepsilon$

$$\Leftrightarrow |x| < \frac{\varepsilon^{1/n}}{n^k} = \frac{\varepsilon^{1/n}}{(\sqrt[n]{n})^k}$$

We know $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon^{1/n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sqrt[n]{n})^k = 1$,

$$\Rightarrow \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \geq N: \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{n}}}{\sqrt[n]{n!}} > |x|.$$

vi) For fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x| < 1$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n x^k = \frac{1}{1-x}:$$

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^n x^k - \frac{1}{1-x} \right| = \left| \frac{1-x^{n+1}}{1-x} - \frac{1}{1-x} \right| = \frac{|x|}{|1-x|} |x|^{n+1} \rightarrow 0,$$

where we use example iv).

So far, we could only prove convergence of a sequence if we knew the potential limit a priori.

In the following, we will look at principles that allow us to prove convergence without prior knowledge of the limit.

Definition. A sequence (a_n) is called

- i) increasing if $a_n \leq a_{n+1}$
- ii) strictly increasing if $a_n < a_{n+1}$
- iii) decreasing if $a_n \geq a_{n+1}$
- iv) strictly decreasing if $a_n > a_{n+1}$

for all its indices n .

A sequence that is increasing or decreasing is called monotonic.

Definition. Let $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence and let $(m_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers, i.e., $m_k < m_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m_k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(a_{m_k})_{k=1}^{\infty} = (a_{m_1}, a_{m_2}, \dots)$ is called a subsequence of $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

More generally, if (a_n) starts with an index $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $(m_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a strictly increasing sequence with $m_1 \geq l$, then $(a_{m_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a subsequence of (a_n) .

Example. Let $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be defined by $a_n = \frac{1}{n}$ and $(m_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ by $m_k = k^2$, then the subsequence $(a_{m_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is given by $a_{m_k} = \frac{1}{k^2}$.

Theorem 5. Every sequence in \mathbb{R} has a monotonic subsequence.

Proof. Let us consider a sequence $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

An index $m \in \mathbb{N}$ of the sequence is called a peak if for all $n > m$ we have $a_m > a_n$.

Case 1 There are infinitely many peaks: $m_1 < m_2 < \dots$

Then $(a_{m_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a strictly decreasing

subsequence of (a_n) .

Case 2 There are only finitely many peaks.

$\Rightarrow \exists m_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that m_1 is greater than all peaks

$\Rightarrow \exists m_2 > m_1$ such that $a_{m_2} > a_{m_1}$.

We can proceed inductively to find a strictly increasing sequence $(m_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of natural numbers such that $(a_{m_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an increasing subsequence. \square

Definition. For a sequence of real numbers $(a_n)_{n=k}^{\infty}$

that is bounded above, we define

$$\sup_{n \geq k} a_n := \sup \{ a_n : n \geq k \},$$

which is the least upper bound of $(a_n)_{n=k}^{\infty}$.

Likewise, for a sequence of real numbers $(a_n)_{n=k}^{\infty}$

that is bounded below, we define

$$\inf_{n \geq k} a_n := \inf \{ a_n : n \geq k \},$$

which is the greatest lower bound of $(a_n)_{n=k}^{\infty}$.

Example For the sequence $a_n := \frac{1}{n}, n \geq 1$, we have

$$\inf_{n \geq 1} a_n = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} a_n = a_1 = 1.$$

Theorem 6. (Monotone Convergence Theorem)

Every bounded monotonic sequence is convergent.
 More precisely, if $(a_n)_{n=k}^{\infty}$ is a bounded increasing sequence, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \sup_{n \geq k} a_n.$$

Likewise, if $(a_n)_{n=k}^{\infty}$ is a bounded decreasing sequence, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \inf_{n \geq k} a_n.$$

Proof. W.l.o.g. we only prove the statement in the case of a bounded increasing sequence $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and we set $A := \sup_{n \geq 1} a_n$.

We know $\forall n \geq 1: a_n \leq A$ and

$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N}: A - \varepsilon < a_N$ (A is the smallest upper bound for a_n).

\Rightarrow (a_n is increasing) $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \geq N: A - \varepsilon < a_n$

$\Rightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \geq N: |A - a_n| = A - a_n < \varepsilon.$

$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = A.$ □

Examples. i) The famous "Basel problem" asks for the value of the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2} \left(= \frac{\pi^2}{6}, \text{ Euler 1734} \right).$

We cannot solve this problem yet, but we can understand that the limit exists: